Two Stage Least Squares Using Excel

With an Application to the Gun Debate

Background

During a career of economic research using sophisticated econometric and statistical software, I rarely had to know how to do the analysis manually: the software always did it for me. But, now retired, my access to that software is gone and I confronted the tedious task of doing some research using Microsoft Excel. That research involved estimation of a structural model using Two Stage Least Squares (TSLS). Excel worked well for estimation of the basic parameters of the model, but it provided no "canned" method for correctly estimating the variances of parameter estimates so that statistical inferences could be made.

This "note" shows just how to use Excel—or any spreadsheet software capable of matrix manipulations—to estimate TSLS. It ends with an application to the question, "Are homicides and suicides in the U. S. causally related to the number of guns?" In that portion we show the Excel spreadsheets used to make correct calculations of the variance-covariance matrix of a structural equation's parameters, and we compare the corrected t-statistics with those generated by an OLS estimation of the equation.

Structural Model Estimation by Two-Stage Least Squares

Notation: a "hat" over a vector or matrix indicates "predicted" value from an OLS regression a "cup" (inverted hat) indicates a residual from an OLS regression

<u>The Model</u>

In the general structural equations model there are M structural equations in which J of the regressors are endogenous variables: variables that are correlated with the error term (a change in *u* with other variables constant changes *y* and that feeds back onto *z*). Thus, causation works both ways in a structural model.

M structural equations, each of the form

 $y_i = XB_i + ZO_i + u_i$ i = 1, ..., M

(1)

where there are N observations and

 \mathbf{y}_i is an N x 1 vector of observations on the kth independent variable

X is an N x K matrix of *exogenous* variables

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}_i$ is a K x 1 vector of coefficients to be estimated

Z is an N x J matrix of "included" endogenous variable

 $\boldsymbol{\emptyset}_i$ is a J x 1 vector of coefficients to be estimated

 \boldsymbol{u}_{i} is a N x 1 matrix of random errors, $\mathbf{u} \sim N(0, \sigma^{2}I)$

There are also J "instrumental equations," each describing the relationship of one of the J endogenous regressors to a set of exogenous regressors.

(2)

J instrumental equations, each of the form

$$\mathbf{z}_{j} = W \boldsymbol{\pi}_{i} + \boldsymbol{v}_{j} \quad j = 1, \dots, J$$

W is a N x P matrix of observations on P " instrumental variables

 π_i is a P x 1 vector of coefficients to be estimated

 v_j is a N x 1 vector of random errors, $v \sim N(0, \sigma_v^2 I)$

Note: the coefficient vectors can be different for each instrumental or structural equation by setting some elements of β_k and π_i to zero.

The First-Stage Regression

The first stage in TSLS estimation uses Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) to create "instruments" for each endogenous regressor This requires choosing exogenous variables that are correlated with each of the J endogenous regressors but have no feedback to those endogenous regressors. Suppose you have P exogenous variables.

The exogenous variables are formed into an N x P matrix (W), where P is the number of exogenous variables and N is the number of observations. Then the

following steps are applied to estimate each of the J equations explaining each "instrument variable" (z_i) .

• Estimate, using OLS, each instrumental equation $z_i = W\pi_i + v_j$ j = 1.2,..., J

The estimators are the standard OLS estimators. Thus, for each instrumental equation we have

 $\hat{\pi}_i = (W'W)^{-1}W'z_i$ — the coefficient estimator

 $\widehat{\Omega} = \widehat{s}_{v}^{2}(W'W)^{-1}$ — the variance-covariance matrix of coefficients

 $\hat{s}_v^2 = \frac{1}{N-K} \Sigma \hat{v}_j^2$ — the sample variance of the estimated error term v_j

• Save the N x 1 vectors of fitted and residual values of z_j (denoted \hat{z}_j and \check{z}_j respectively) and form them into two N x J matrices \hat{Z} and \check{Z} .

The Second Stage

• The ith structural equation in (1) can be written as:

 $y_i = X\beta_i + \hat{Z}\theta_i + \in_i$

with error term $\in_i = u_i + \check{Z}\theta_i$

Note: X is $K \times N$; Z, \hat{Z} and \check{Z} are $M \times N$; θ_i is $M \times 1$; and β_i is $K \times 1$

Each structural equation can be rewritten as

$$y_i = \hat{Q}\gamma_i + \epsilon_i \text{ where } \hat{Q} = X \hat{Z} \text{ and } \gamma_i = \beta_i \\ \theta_i \end{bmatrix}$$

Note: \hat{Q} is $N \ge (K + M)$; γ_i is $(K+M) \ge 1$; β_i is a $K \ge 1$ vector of coefficients of exogenous regressors; and θ_i is an $M \ge 1$ vector of coefficients of endogenous regressors

• Estimate each structural equation using OLS to derive

Estimated OLS coefficients: $\hat{\gamma} = (\hat{Q}'\hat{Q})^{-1}Q'y_i$

OLS Standard Error of Estimate: $\hat{s}_{\notin}^2 = \frac{1}{N - (M + K)} \Sigma \widehat{\notin}^2$

OLS variance-covariance matrix: $\widehat{\Omega} = \widehat{s}_{\in}^2 (\widehat{Q}' \widehat{Q})^{-1}$ where $\widehat{s}_{\in}^2 = \frac{1}{N - (M+K)} \Sigma \widehat{\in}^2$ So far so good: the estimated OLS coefficients ($\hat{\gamma}$) need no further adjustments they are asymptotically unbiased, meaning that as the sample size grows the estimates $\hat{\gamma}_i$ approaches the population parameters γ_i .

But the OLS estimate of the variance-covariance matrix is incorrect for statistical inference. Recall that the errors in the second-stage regressions (\in) are calculated as

$$\in_i = u_i + \check{Z}\theta_i$$

Thus, because the second-stage regression uses the *fitted* values for each endogenous regressor rather than the *actual* values, the effect of the residuals in Ž are compounded into the error term for each structural equation.

Correcting the Variance-Covariance Matrix

The "Correct" Variance-Covariance Matrix

The OLS estimation of the coefficient vector $\hat{\gamma}_i$ using the fitted values of instrument variables is

$$\hat{\gamma} = (\hat{Q}'\hat{Q})^{-1}\hat{Q}'y$$

The difference between the coefficients and their population values is

$$(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) = (\hat{Q}'\hat{Q})^{-1}Q'(u + \check{Z}\hat{\theta})$$

The correct variance-covariance matrix is

$$\widehat{\Omega} = \boldsymbol{E}((\widehat{\gamma} - \gamma)(\widehat{\gamma} - \gamma)'$$

Thus,

 $\widehat{\Omega} = \sigma_u^2 (\widehat{Q}' \widehat{Q})^{-1} + (\widehat{Q}' \widehat{Q})^{-1} \widehat{Q}' [\check{Z}(\widehat{\theta}\widehat{\theta}') \check{Z}'] \widehat{Q} (\widehat{Q}' \widehat{Q})^{-1}$

Note: the first part of $\hat{\Omega}$ is the standard OLS estimator for the variance-covariance matrix. The second part is the adjustment necessary to derive the variancecovariance matrix for the second-stage regression.

There are two steps in making the adjustments:

- Compute \hat{s}_u^2 , the correct estimator for σ_u^2 .
- Compute the correct elements in the $\widehat{\Omega}$ matrix

Find the Correct Estimator for σ_{μ}^2 , i.e. (\hat{s}_{μ}^2)

The first step is to find the correct estimator for σ_u^2 . Recall that the new structural equation error term is $\widehat{\epsilon}_i = \widehat{u}_i + \check{Z}\widehat{\theta}_i$, so $\widehat{u}_i = \widehat{\epsilon}_i - \check{Z}\widehat{\theta}_i$ is the implicit error term in the first-stage regression if the actual Z had been used instead of the fitted \widehat{Z} . Thus, the vector \widehat{u}_i can be calculated using the estimates from the second stage OLS error vector (ε_i), the estimated instrumental coefficients ($\widehat{\theta}$), and the matrix of residuals in the instrumental variable equations (\check{Z}). In short

- Compute $\hat{u} = \widehat{\mathbf{t}} \check{\mathbf{Z}}\widehat{\mathbf{\theta}}$
- Calculate $\hat{s}_u^2 = \frac{1}{N (M + K)} \Sigma \hat{u}_i^2$

<u>Compute the Elements in $\widehat{\Omega}$ </u>

Above we've seen that

$$\widehat{\Omega} = \widehat{s}_u^2 (\widehat{Q}' \widehat{Q})^{-1} + (\widehat{Q}' \widehat{Q})^{-1} \widehat{Q}' [\check{Z} (\widehat{\theta} \widehat{\theta}')) \check{Z}'] \widehat{Q}' \widehat{Q} (\widehat{Q}' \widehat{Q})^{-1}$$

To compute the elements you need $(\hat{Q} \ | \hat{Q} \)^{-1}$, $(\hat{Q} \ | \hat{Q} \)^{-1} \hat{Q}'$, the first-stage residual matrix \check{Z} , and the second stage coefficient estimates $\hat{\theta}$. These can be formed from the available data and estimates.

Though I found it a tedious task, the elements of $\hat{\Omega}$ can be calculated using Excel (see Appendix)

A General Solution: Multiple Exogenous and Endogenous Regressors

Note that the intercept ("constant term") is designated **1** (a an N x 1 vector of 1's) and is classed as an exogenous regressor. The simplest structural model is a single equation without an intercept and with only one endogenous regressor (i.e., K = 0, M = 1). Here we describe the most general case.

<u>The Data</u>

Let X be a N x K matrix of exogenous regressors

Z be a N x M matrix of endogenous regressors

 $\widehat{Z}\,$ be a N x M matrix of fitted values from first-stage regression

Ž be a N x M matrix of residual values from first stage regressions

and

 $\hat{Q} = [X \ \hat{Z}]$ be the N x (K+M) matrix of all second-stage regressors

The variance-covariance matrix for the second-stage regression coefficients is

$$\Omega = \sigma_u^2 (\hat{Q}' \hat{Q})^{-1} + (\hat{Q}' \hat{Q})^{-1} \hat{Q}' [(\hat{Z}' \check{Z})(\theta \theta')(\check{Z} \hat{Z}')] Q(\hat{Q}' \hat{Q})^{-1}$$

Let $A = (\hat{Q}'\hat{Q})^{-1}_{(K+M)x(K+M)}$ $B = [\hat{Z}'\check{Z}]_{MxM}$ and $\hat{\theta}' = (\hat{\theta}_1 \quad \hat{\theta}_2 \quad \dots \quad \hat{\theta}_M)_{1xM}$

so we can write $\Omega = \sigma_u^2 A^{-1} + A^{-1}B[\theta \theta']B'A'^{-1}$

where

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{A} &= \begin{vmatrix} \hat{Q}_{1} & \hat{Q}_{1} & \hat{Q}_{1} & \hat{Q}_{2} & \ddots & \hat{Q}_{1} & \hat{Q}_{M} \\ \hat{Q}_{2} & \hat{Q}_{1} & \hat{Q}_{2} & \hat{Q}_{2} & \ddots & \hat{Q}_{2} & \hat{Q}_{M} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hat{Q}_{M} & \hat{Q}_{1} & \hat{Q}_{M} & \hat{Q}_{2} & \ddots & \hat{Q}_{M} & \hat{Q}_{M} \end{vmatrix} \\ \\ \mathbf{B} &= \begin{vmatrix} \hat{Z}_{1} & \hat{Z}_{1} & \hat{Z}_{1} & \hat{Z}_{2} & \ddots & \hat{Z}_{1} & \hat{Z}_{1M} \\ \hat{Z}_{2} & \hat{Z}_{1} & \hat{Z}_{2} & \hat{Z}_{2} & \ddots & \hat{Z}_{2} & \hat{Z}_{1M} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hat{Z}_{M} & \hat{Z}_{1} & \hat{Z}_{M} & \hat{Z}_{2} & \ddots & \hat{Z}_{M} & \hat{Z}_{1M} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \hat{Z}_{1} & \hat{Z}_{2} & \ddots & \hat{Z}_{1} & \hat{Z}_{1M} \\ \hat{Z}_{2} & \hat{Z}_{1} & 0 & \ddots & \hat{Z}_{2} & \hat{Z}_{1M} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hat{Z}_{M} & \hat{Z}_{1} & \hat{Z}_{M} & \hat{Z}_{2} & \ddots & \hat{Z}_{M} & \hat{Z}_{1M} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \hat{Z}_{1} & \hat{Z}_{2} & \ddots & \hat{Z}_{1} & \hat{Z}_{1M} \\ \hat{Z}_{2} & \hat{Z}_{1} & 0 & \ldots & \hat{Z}_{2} & \hat{Z}_{1M} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hat{Z}_{M} & \hat{Z}_{1} & \hat{Z}_{M} & \hat{Z}_{2} & \ldots & \hat{Z}_{M} & \hat{Z}_{1M} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \hat{Z}_{1} & \hat{Z}_{2} & \ddots & \hat{Z}_{1} & \hat{Z}_{1M} \\ \hat{Z}_{2} & \hat{Z}_{1} & 0 & \ldots & \hat{Z}_{2} & \hat{Z}_{1M} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hat{Z}_{M} & \hat{Z}_{1} & \hat{Z}_{M} & \hat{Z}_{2} & \ldots & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\theta} \hat{\theta}^{\dagger} = \begin{vmatrix} \theta_{1}^{2} & \theta_{1} \theta_{2} & \ldots & \theta_{1} \theta_{M} \\ \theta_{2} \theta_{1} & \theta_{2}^{2} & \ldots & \theta_{2} \theta_{M} \\ \theta_{M} \theta_{1} & \theta_{M} \theta_{2} & \theta_{M}^{2} \end{vmatrix}$$

Finding the variance-covariance Matrix

Recall that the variance-covariance matrix of the estimators $\boldsymbol{\hat{\theta}}$ is

$$\widehat{\Omega} = = \sigma_u^2 (\mathbf{Q}'\mathbf{Q})^{-1} + (\mathbf{Q}'\mathbf{Q})^{-1}\mathbf{Q}'[\check{\mathbf{Z}}(\widehat{\theta}\widehat{\theta}')\check{\mathbf{Z}}']\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{Q}'\mathbf{Q})^{-1}$$

A central matrix in the TSLS estimation is

$$\hat{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X} & \hat{\mathbf{Z}} \end{bmatrix} \text{ from which}$$
$$\hat{Q}'\hat{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X} & \hat{\mathbf{Z}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X} & \hat{\mathbf{Z}} \end{bmatrix}' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}'\hat{\mathbf{Z}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{Z}}'\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{Z}'\hat{\mathbf{Z}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Block Inversion, a property of matrix algebra, says that

$$\begin{vmatrix} A & B \\ -1 \\ C & D \end{vmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{vmatrix} (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1} & -(A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1}BD^{-1} \\ -D^{-1}C(A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1} & D^{-1}C(A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1}BD^{-1} \end{vmatrix}$$

so $(Q'Q)^{-1}$ can be written as

$$(\underline{\mathbf{O}'\mathbf{O}})^{\cdot 1} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}'\hat{\mathbf{Z}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{Z}}'\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{Z}'\hat{\mathbf{Z}} \end{vmatrix}^{\cdot 1} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{A}^* & \mathbf{B}^* \\ \mathbf{C}^* & \mathbf{D}^* \end{vmatrix}$$

where

 $A^{*} = [X'X - (X'\hat{Z})(\hat{Z}'\hat{Z})^{-1}(\hat{Z}'X)]^{-1}$

 $B^{*} = - [X'X - (X'\hat{Z})(\hat{Z}'\hat{Z})^{-1}(\hat{Z}'X)]^{-1}(X'\hat{Z})(\hat{Z}'\hat{Z})^{-1}$

 $C^* = -\{ [X'X - (X'\hat{Z})(\hat{Z}'\hat{Z})^{-1}(\hat{Z}'X)]^{-1} \}$

$$D^* = \{ (\hat{Z}'\hat{Z})^{-1} (\hat{Z}'X) [X'X - (X'\hat{Z})(\hat{Z}'\hat{Z})^{-1} (\hat{Z}'X)]^{-1} (X'\hat{Z})(\hat{Z}'\hat{Z})^{-1} \}$$

Thus,

$$(\hat{Q}'\hat{Q})^{-1}\hat{Q}' = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{A}^* & \mathbf{B}^* \\ \mathbf{C}^* & \mathbf{D}^* \end{vmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X} & \hat{\mathbf{Z}} \end{bmatrix}' = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{X}' + \mathbf{B}^*\hat{\mathbf{Z}}' \\ \mathbf{C}^*\mathbf{X}' & \mathbf{D}^*\hat{\mathbf{Z}}' \end{vmatrix}$$

An additional important matrix is the N x N matrix $[(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}'\check{\mathbf{Z}})(\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}')(\check{\mathbf{Z}}'\hat{\mathbf{Z}})]$. Both matrices can be formed from the second-stage regression output.

The Simplest Cases

Case 1: A Single Endogenous Regressor, No Intercept

Suppose the structural equation has no intercept and only one endogenous regressor. An equivalent way to describe it is that all variables are defines=d as deviations from the sample means. This is the easiest possible case.

In this case the complicated expression for $\widehat{\Omega}$ reduces to

 $\Omega = \hat{s}_{u}^{2} (\hat{z}'\hat{z})^{-1} + \hat{\theta}^{2} (\hat{z}'\hat{z})^{-1} \varsigma (\hat{z}'\check{z})' (\hat{z}'\hat{z})^{-1}$

A convenient property of OLS estimation is that the sum of the products of the residuals and the fitted regressors is zero, i.e. $\hat{z}'\check{z} = 0$. Thus, the complicated second term entirely vanishes in this case. In the one-regressor case we have

$$\Omega = \hat{s}_u^2 (\hat{z}'\hat{z})^{-1} \text{ and } \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta}^2) = \frac{\hat{s}_u^2}{\Sigma \hat{z}^2}$$

Problem

You've estimated the first-stage regression to obtain vector \hat{z} as well as the second-stage regression

 $y = \hat{z}\theta + \in$ with error vector $\in = u + \check{z}\theta$

What is the variance of the estimated coefficient $(\hat{\theta})$?

Answer

The estimator for the variance of $\hat{\theta} \theta^*$ is

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{\theta}\right) = \frac{\widehat{s}_{u}^{2}}{R^{2}\Sigma z^{2}}$$

where

R² is the R-Squared for the IV equation s_u^{*2} is the adjusted error variance reported in the IV equation $\sum z^2$ is the sum-of-squares of the endogenous variable

The t-statistic is
$$t = \frac{\theta^*}{\sqrt{var(\theta^2)}}$$
.

Note that because $0 < R^2 < 1$, Var ($\hat{\theta}$) *must* be greater than the variance $\hat{\theta}$ reported by the second-stage OLS output.

Case 2: One Exogenous and Two Endogenous Regressors

This is the format of the model used in the application that follows. The exogenous regressor in this example is a constant serving as the intercept.

Define the following matrices and vectors:

$$\hat{Q}_{\text{Nx3}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} \ \hat{\mathbf{z}}_1 \ \hat{\mathbf{z}}_2 \end{bmatrix} \hat{Q}'_{3\text{xN}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}' \\ \hat{\mathbf{z}}_1' \\ \hat{\mathbf{z}}_2' \end{bmatrix} \quad \tilde{Z}_{\text{Nx2}} = \begin{bmatrix} \check{\mathbf{z}}_1 \ \check{\mathbf{z}}_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \hat{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\theta}_1 \\ \hat{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \gamma_0 = \text{intercept}$$

where **1** is an Nx1 vector of 1's for the constant term, \hat{z}_1 , \hat{z}_2 are Nx1 vectors of fitted values for the two instruments, \check{z}_1 , \check{z}_2 are the associated Nx2 residual vectors, γ_0 is the estimated intercept coefficient, and $\hat{\theta}$ is a 2x1 vector of coefficients on the instrumental variables.

Note that only the endogenous regressor coefficients are

Then
$$\Omega = \sigma_u^2 (\hat{Q}'\hat{Q})^{-1} + (\hat{Q}'\hat{Q})^{-1}Q'[\check{Z}(\theta\theta')\check{Z}']\hat{Q}(\hat{Q}'\hat{Q})^{-1}$$

which can be written as $\Omega = \sigma_u^2 (\hat{Q}' \hat{Q})^{-1} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}'$ where $\mathbf{B} = (\hat{Q}' \hat{Q})^{-1} \check{Z} \theta$

where

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathbf{Q}} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \widehat{z}_{11} & \widehat{z}_{21} \\ 1 & \widehat{z}_{12} & \widehat{z}_{22} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \ddots \\ 1 & \widehat{z}_{1N} & \widehat{z}_{2N} \end{bmatrix} \\ \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}' \widehat{\mathbf{Q}} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1'1 & 1' \widehat{z}_1 & 1' \widehat{z}_2 \\ \widehat{z}_1' 1 & \widehat{z}_1' \widehat{z}_1 & \widehat{z}_1' \widehat{z}_2 \\ \widehat{z}_2' 1 & \widehat{z}_2' \widehat{z}_1 & \widehat{z}_2' \widehat{z}_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \\ \widetilde{Z} \widehat{\mathbf{\theta}} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\check{z}}_1 & \mathbf{\check{z}}_2 \end{bmatrix} \widehat{\mathbf{\theta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\check{z}}_{11} \widehat{\theta}_1 + \mathbf{\check{z}}_{12} \widehat{\theta}_2 \\ \widetilde{z}_{12} \widehat{\theta}_1 + \mathbf{\check{z}}_{22} \widehat{\theta}_2 \\ \cdots & \cdots \\ \widetilde{z}_{1N} \widehat{\theta}_1 + \mathbf{\check{z}}_{2N} \widehat{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

An Application: Guns, Homicides and Suicides

This application is taken from a paper available at *www.fortunearchive.com* (scroll to the bottom of the index page and select "Guns in America.")

International opinion—and much American opinion—is clear: there is an obvious causal connection between the number of firearms and the number of homicides, so obvious that obtuse gunowners can't see it. This has been demonstrated by data across countries—countries with more guns per capita have more homicides by gun; the U.S. is a standout on both per capita gun numbers and percapita homicides (particularly if you exclude very violent nations from the data). It has also been demonstrated by data across states—states with more guns per capita appear to have more homicides-by-gun. This is what explains why America has a high murder rate—we Americans just have too many guns!

There are a variety of flaws in this logic. First,

• Correlation does not prove causation; the fact that more guns appear to be associated with more homicides is no proof that more guns cause more homicides.

Second, homicides by gun have a clear socioeconomic and ethnic flavor:

• Roughly 80 percent of homicides are done by non-whites to non-whites.

This raises the question of whether violence among the poor and more crime-prone population is a major reason for homicides, not guns. It also raises the question of whether illegal guns—the most common guns in non-white areas—are the source of the association between guns and homicides.

In addition, there are a couple of factoids that raise questions about the gunshomicides association.

Here are two:

- Three percent of adult gun owners hold fifty percent of America's guns.
- Between 1994 and 2015 the population adjusted rates of both violent crimes and homicides has declined steadily while the number of guns increased from 192 million in 1994 to over 265 million in 2015.

The first factoid suggests that if more guns cause more homicides, there should be a plethora of murders by three percent of gun owners—the "supper-gunners." But there is no evidence that those with more guns murder more people. Furthermore, if these guns are in safe hands then only half of the gun stock is "in play" for homicidal purposes: the unsafe American gun supply is only half of the recorded number. This would take America out of the stratosphere of gun ownership.

The second factoid simply points out that in America there is no evidence that over the past 25 years more guns means more murders.

I set out to look into the guns-homicide connection using statistical analysis of data on relevant variables in the 51 states (including DC) in or about 2012. Some of the variables used were exogenous (per capita personal income in the state, median age, male-to-female ratio, degree of urbanization, non-white percentage of population). Two variables were treated as endogenous—the per capita number of guns owned in the state, and the per capita number of guns reported lost or stolen.

Table 1 below shows the results of regressing state homicide rate and suicide rate (per 100,000 population) the gun variables. This is done by OLS, a method that would be appropriate if all regressors were exogenous.

OLS Estimation

or amary heast squares hegi essions								
_	Dependent Variables							
Independent	HOMICIDES po	er 100K	SUICIDES pe	er 100K				
Variable	Coefficient t-	Statistic	Coefficient	t-Statistic				
Constant	- 75.90	- 2.13	- 181.47	- 1.91				
Pers. Income (per capita)	- 0.00015	- 0.99	-0.00062	- 1.61				
Income Inequality (Gini)	+ 38.26	+ 1.69	+ 67.18	+ 1.11				
Median Age (Years)	+ 0.37	+ 1.14	+ 1.11	+ 1.31				
Gender (Male/Female)	+0.61	+ 2.32	+ 1.33	+ 1.95				
Urbanization (percent)	- 5.97	- 1.27	+ 12.32	+0.98				
Race (% Black)	+ 3.66	+ 0.47	+ 3.85	+ 0.19				
Stolen Guns (per 100 pop)	+ .0163	+ 3.15	+ 0.0133	+ 0.97				
Guns Owned (per 100 pop)	- 0.1323	- 2.06	-0.0934	- 0.56				
Adjusted R ²	0.65		0.05					

Table 1Ordinary Least Squares Regressions

Bold face text shows statistically significant variables (5%)

The first thing to note is that *nothing* explains suicides. They follow an entirely different pattern—if there is a pattern—than homicides. However, homicides do have some statistically significant regressors. In particular, both stolen guns and guns owned play a statistically significant role in explaining homicides. As expected, stolen guns contribute directly to homicides. But guns-owned are inverse factors—the more guns owned in a state, the *fewer* the homicides.

Clearly, this does not support the view that the volume of guns is the cause of the high homicides (and suicides) experienced in America. But perhaps there is endogeneity biasing the results, as when homicides induce purchase of fewer or more guns--more guns as people arm for self-defense, or fewer guns as people become more fearful of gun deaths. So let's try TSLS estimation to mitigate the effects of endogeneity.

TSLS Estimation

To do this we assume that stolen guns and guns owned are endogenous regressors and we regress each on all of the other exogenous variables. The results are reported in Table 2.

_	Dep	endent V	ariables	
Independent Variable	STOLEN GUN Coefficient	S per 100 <i>t-Statistic</i>	GUNS OWNED Coefficient	per 100K <i>t-Statistic</i>
Constant	+ 2.14	+ 1.47	- 104.23	- 0.89
Pers. Income (per capita)	+0.00002	+ 3.78	- 0.0005	- 1.58
Income Inequality (Gini)	+ 0.72	+ 0.69	+ 96.04	+ 1.16
Median Age (Years)	- 0.05	- 4.47	- 0.95	- 1.11
Gender (Male/Female)	-0.01	- 1.19	+ 1.87	+ 2.53
Urbanization (percent)	+ 2.00	+ 0.99	- 45.69	- 3.35
Race (% Black)	+0.92	+ 3.13	+ 8.80	+ 0.37
Adjusted R ²	0.8	1	0.85	

Bold face text shows statistically significant variables (5%)

Stolen guns are more common in higher income states , in younger states, and in states with higher proportions of blacks. Guns owned are driven by gender (more males buy guns than females) and by urbanization (guns are more common in *less* urbanized states).

Finally, Table 3 tells us the link between method of death and guns, purged of the endogeneity that might taint Table 1. The bottom line is unchanged—stolen guns matter, the number of guns doesn't—though the coefficient is now positive. Only to the extent that a larger stock of guns allows more stolen guns is there a link between guns and homicides. Suicides, on the other hand, are inexplicable using our data.

The good news for those who claim that guns and homicides are directly related is that the coefficient on guns-owned is now (slightly) positive, a sharp contrast with the OLS results in Table 1. The bad news is that it is not statistically significant.

Table 3 TSLS Second Stage Regressions Dependent Variables

Independent	HOMICIDES	per 100K	SUICIDES	per 100K
Variable	Coefficient	t-Statistic	Coefficient	t-Statistic
Constant	+ 0.62	+ 0.52	+ 7.9393	+ 2.32
Stolen Guns (fitted)	+ 10.09	+ 4.66	- 2.0677	- 0.20
Guns Owned (fitted)	+ 0.04	+ 1.70	+ 0.9453	+ 0.41

t-statistics are corrected for errors introduced by TSLS.

Bold face text shows statistically significant variables (5%)

Estimates of Standard Errors and t-Statistics: Direct OLS vs. TSLS

In Table 4 we compare the standard errors and t-statistics generated directly by OLS estimation of the equations in Table 3 with those resulting from correct adjustment of TSLS estimation.

		Table	: 4			
	TSLS S	econd Sta	age Regre	ssions		
	I	<u>Depender</u>	nt Variabl	<u>e</u>		
	HOMICIDES per 100K					
Independent	OLS TSLS					
Variable	Coefficient	Std Error	t-Statistic	Std Error	t-Statistic	
Constant	0.62	+ 0.65	+ 0.94	0.73	+ 0.52	
Stolen Guns (fitted)	10.09	+ 1.93	+ 5.24	2.17	+ 4.66	
Guns Owned (fitted)	0.04	+ 0.02	+ 1.91	0.02+	+ 1.70	

As expected, the standard errors of the estimated coefficients are higher with TSLS than with OLS, and the t-statistics are correspondingly lower.

Appendix: Data Set

	2011	2010	2010	2010	2011	2012	2010	2013	2013	2015	2010	Stolen/Lost	2013
	Gun Deaths	Gun Homicides	Gun Suicides	Population	Median Income	Pers. Inc.	Income Ineq	Gender	Median Age	Race-Black	Urbanization	Guns	Guns Owned
State	(per 100K)	(per 100K)	(per 100K)	(in 100Ks)	(per HH)	per Capita	(Gini)	Males per F	(Years)	(%)	(%)	(per 100)	(per 100)
	GUN DEATHS	HOMICIDES	SUICIDES	POPULATION	MEDIAN Y	PERS. INC.	INEQUALITY	GENDER	MEDIAN AGE	BLACK	URBAN	STOLEN GUNS	GUNS OWNED
Alabama	17.6	4.41	13.19	48.027	\$41,415	\$23,606	0.4847	94.33	37.90	26.40%	59.00%	0.126677688	48.9
Alaska	19.8	2.24	17.56	7.227	\$67,825	\$33,062	0.4081	108.52	33.80	3.40%	66.00%	0.09920882	61.7
Arizona	14.1	3.53	10.57	64.825	\$46,709	\$25,715	0.4713	98.74	35.90	4.20%	89.80%	0.083779341	32.3
Arkansas	16.8	4.39	12.41	29.380	\$38,758	\$22,883	0.4719	96.45	37.40	15.50%	56.20%	0.139245379	57.9
California	7.7	3.25	4.45	376.919	\$57,287	\$30,441	0.4899	98.83	35.20	5.90%	95.20%	0.028226215	20.1
Colorado	11.5	1.51	9.99	51.168	\$55,387	\$32,357	0.4586	100.48	36.10	4.00%	86.20%	0.050988939	34.3
Connecticut	4.4	2.71	1.69	35.807	\$65,753	\$39,373	0.4945	94.83	40.00	10.30%	88.00%	0.027201317	16.6
Delaware	10.3	3.09	7.21	9.071	\$58,814	\$30,488	0.4522	93.94	38.80	21.60%	83.30%	0.037921588	5.2
D.C	18.44	12.46	5.98	6.180	\$63,124	\$45,877	0.5420	89.52	33.80	48.90%	100.00%	1.185120939	25.9
Florida	11.9	3.51	8.39	190.575	\$44,299	\$26,582	0.4852	95.60	40.70	16.10%	91.20%	0.065963386	32.5
Georgia	12.6	3.93	8.67	98.152	\$46,007	\$25,615	0.4813	95.38	35.30	30.90%	75.10%	0.1314898	31.6
Hawaii	2.6	0.07	2.53	13.748	\$61,821	\$29,736	0.4420	100.32	38.60	2.00%	91.90%	0.010765124	45.1
Idaho	14.1	1.14	12.96	15.850	\$43,341	\$23,938	0.4503	100.39	34.60	0.60%	70.60%	0.068581091	56.9
Illinois	8.6	2.93	5.67	128.693	\$53,234	\$30,417	0.4810	96.24	36.60	14.30%	88.50%	0.025658047	26.2
Indiana	13	3.29	9.71	65.169	\$46,438	\$25,140	0.4527	96.83	37.00	9.20%	72.40%	0.073255442	33.8
Iowa	8	0.71	7.29	30.623	\$49,427	\$29,507	0.4729	95.71	37.13	14.53%	87.67%	0.146248016	29.4
Kansas	11.4	2.78	8.62	28.712	\$48,964	\$29,485	0.4731	95.50	37.17	14.57%	88.52%	0.146578326	28.6
Kentucky	13.7	2.36	11.34	43.694	\$41,141	\$29,463	0.4733	95.29	37.21	14.61%	89.37%	0.146908635	27.9
Louisiana	19.3	10.16	9.14	45.748	\$41,734	\$29,441	0.4735	95.09	37.26	14.64%	90.22%	0.147238945	27.1
Maine	10.9	0.9	10.00	13.282	\$46,033	\$29,419	0.4738	94.88	37.30	14.68%	91.06%	0.147569254	26.4
Maryland	9.7	4.7	5.00	58.283	\$70,004	\$29,397	0.4740	94.67	37.34	14.72%	91.91%	0.147899563	25.7
Massachusetts	3.1	2.02	1.08	65.875	\$62,859	\$29,376	0.4742	94.47	37.39	14.76%	92.76%	0.148229873	24.9
Michigan	12	5.06	6.94	98.762	\$45,981	\$29,354	0.4745	94.26	37.43	14.80%	93.61%	0.148560182	24.2
Minnesota	7.6	0.82	6.78	53.449	\$56,954	\$29,332	0.4747	94.05	37.47	14.84%	94.45%	0.148890492	23.5
Mississippi	17.8	7.46	10.34	29.785	\$36,919	\$29,310	0.4749	93.85	37.51	14.88%	95.30%	0.149220801	22.7
Missouri	14.4	4.64	9.76	60.107	\$45,247	\$29,288	0.4751	93.64	37.56	14.91%	96.15%	0.14955111	22.0
Montana	16.7	0.76	15.94	9.982	\$44,222	\$29,266	0.4754	93.43	37.60	14.95%	97.00%	0.14988142	21.2
Nebraska	9	2.5	6.50	18.426	\$50,296	\$29,244	0.4756	93.23	37.64	14.99%	97.84%	0.150211729	20.5
Nevada	13.8	3.07	10.73	27.233	\$48,927	\$29,222	0.4758	93.02	37.69	15.03%	98.69%	0.150542039	19.8

New Hampshire	6.4	0.53	5.87	13.182	\$62,647	\$29,200	0.4761	92.81	37.73
New Jersey	5.7	3.07	2.63	88.212	\$67,458	\$29,179	0.4763	92.61	37.77
New Mexico	15.5	2.98	12.52	20.822	\$41,963	\$29,157	0.4765	92.40	37.82
New York	4.2	4.12	0.08	194.652	\$55,246	\$29,135	0.4767	92.19	37.86
North Carolina	12.1	3.87	8.23	96.564	\$43,916	\$29,113	0.4770	91.99	37.90
North Dakota	11.8	0.93	10.87	6.839	\$51,704	\$29,091	0.4772	91.78	37.95
Ohio	11	3.54	7.46	115.450	\$45,749	\$29,069	0.4774	91.57	37.99
Oklahoma	16.5	3.64	12.86	37.915	\$43,225	\$29,047	0.4776	91.37	38.03
Oregon	11	1.05	9.95	38.719	\$46,816	\$29,025	0.4779	91.16	38.08
Pennsylvania	11.2	3.97	7.23	127.429	\$50,228	\$29,003	0.4781	90.95	38.12
Rhode Island	5.3	0.57	4.73	10.513	\$53,636	\$28,982	0.4783	90.75	38.16
South Carolina	15.2	5.41	9.79	46.792	\$42,367	\$28,960	0.4786	90.54	38.21
South Dakota	10	0.68	9.32	8.241	\$48,321	\$28,938	0.4788	90.33	38.25
Tennessee	15.4	3.92	11.48	64.034	\$41,693	\$28,916	0.4790	90.13	38.29
Texas	10.6	2.91	7.69	256.747	\$49,392	\$28,894	0.4792	89.92	38.34
Utah	12.6	0.97	11.63	28.172	\$55,869	\$28,872	0.4795	89.71	38.38
Vermont	9.2	0.75	8.45	6.264	\$52,776	\$28,850	0.4797	89.51	38.42
Virginia	10.2	2.58	7.62	80.966	\$61,882	\$28,828	0.4799	89.30	38.47
Washington	8.7	1.25	7.45	68.300	\$56,835	\$28,806	0.4801	89.09	38.51
West Virginia	14.3	2.87	11.43	18.554	\$38,482	\$28,785	0.4804	88.89	38.55
Wisconsin	9.7	1.47	8.23	57.118	\$50,395	\$28,763	0.4806	88.68	38.60
Wyoming	16	2.01	13.99	5.636	\$56,322	\$28,741	0.4808	88.47	38.64

	Fint Stage Outputs			Second Stage		Corrected	Carrected					
First Stage - Stolen Gun		First Stage - Guns Owned		Predicted H	/weidium/	Revictual	Squared	0(= 2-M	8	2-cup		
z1-hat	#1-cup	22-4xef	12-040	Minut	-	e-spect-liven	Residual CO	start 11-hat	12-hat	11-Cup	12 CUD	
0.144364881441967	10.0176871932889541	44.9161298529833	N-98087004700863	3.8811598995425	0.528840100457502	***************************************	E =16^2	0.1449648814419	67 44.9161298529833	-0.012%##US%25%##US%25	3.98387014701667	
0.0844389886454678	0.0147698313942233	9619575755555	4.12847075082087	8.78646965270215	-1.54646965270215	-H0-(\$0\$24*07*50\$25*	E =(7^2	1 0.08445696434	940969292512525 829	0.0047698313942233	4.12847075032037	
0.0188704947282605	0.0649088467454445	36.5835100940502	-4.28351009405024	2.27980333146641	1.25019666853359	*52505*80*V0505)-84*	E =18^2	1 0.0188704947282	605 36.5835100940502	0.0649088467454445	-4.28351009405024	
0.0253048963895059	0.113940482882061	48.8255690335846	9.07443096641538	2.83777750675751	1.55222249324249	**************************************	E =89^2	1 0.0253048963895	059 48.8255690335846	0.113940482832061	9.07443096641538	
0.159148216191773	-0.130922001506776	34.3267743476912	-14.2267743476912	3.60375503932939	-0.35375503932939	=H10-(\$0524*010=\$052	5=(10^2	1 0.1591482161917	73 34.3267743476912	-0.130922001506776	-14.2267743476912	
0.080594998488701091	6/10869606096450'0-	36.4527397403028	-2.15273974030276	2.95110136721804	-1.44110136721804	=H11-(\$0624*D11+\$052	5=11^2	1 0.0859498488701	091 36.4527397403028	0.034960909696080179	-2.15273974030276	
0.145993843057899	-0.118792526225719	21.6028386343164	-5.00283863431635	2.95859428319181	-0.248594283191806	-+12-(\$0524*012+\$052	5=(12^2	1 0.1459938430578	99 21.6028386343164	-0.118792526233719	-5.00283863433635	
0.147093360189638	-0.109171771892417	24.9670177264327	-19.7670077264327	3.10518395915456	-0.0151839591545562	=+03-(\$Q\$34*D03+\$Q\$2	5=(13^2	0.1470935601896	38 24.9670177264327	-0.109171771892417	-19.7670077264327	
1.01590758050426	0.169213558789848	16.5343500487118	9.36564995128822	11.5284329559119	0.931567044068126	=H14-(\$0\$24*D04+\$0\$2	5 =(14^2	1.0159075805042	6 16.5343500487118	0.169213558789848	9.36564995128822	
-0.0581672631218937	0.124130649166117	27.451337793204	5.04866220679605	1.13497852991891	2.37544270858999	=+15-(\$0\$24*015+\$0\$2	5=(15^2	1 -0.0581672631218	27.451337793204	0.124130649166117	5.04866220679605	
0.55752259515963	-0.206032595125163	40.9660257558232	-9.36602575582315	5.67025512260689	-1.74025512260689	=+16-(\$0\$24*D06+\$0\$2	5=(16^2	1 0.3375229951996	40.9660257558232	-0.206082595125163	-9.36602575582315	
-0.1124090578960984	0.123264502878015	30.8284837043484	14.2715062956516	0.723004748611688	-0.653004748611688	=+0.7-(\$0\$24*007×\$0\$2	5 =(1,7^2	0.1124993789699	384 30.8284837043484	0.123264502878005	14.2715162956516	
-0.01024309986668686898	0.0768241905596804	48.2923199903975	8.60768004960252	2.45776115420028	-1.31776115420028	=+U8-(\$0\$34*018+\$0\$2	5=(18^2	1 -0.0102430998860	2012/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02	0.0788241905596804	8.60758004560252	
0.186536415098762	-0.1606763681734622	31.1279368420067	4.92793684200673	3.75115313657444	-0.821153136674436	==0.9-(\$0624*003+\$052	5=(19^2	1 0.186530415067	82 31.1279368420067	-0.160878368173462	4.92793684200673	
0.00668205316239272	0.0665733885937001	38.8928487206492	-5.09284872064924	2.24968403399465	1.04011596600535	=>00-(\$0\$34*020*\$0\$2	5=00^2	1 0.0066820531623	9272 38.8928487206492	0.0665733885937000	-5.09284872064924	
0.147093158147799	-0.0008451818061799970-	29.7438935550654	-0.382941174113034	3.29758377363086	-2.587581777863086	==01-(\$Q\$34*021+\$Q\$2	2~121=5	1 0.1470931981477	PD 29.7438935550654	-0.000845181806179957	-0.382941174113014	
0.147538999118829	-0.000760663371920822	28.9673851604268	-0.344647065188745	3.26878520428534	-0.488785204285342	=H02-(\$0534*022+\$052	5=02*2	1 0.14733999991188	28.9673851604268	-0.000760663371920822	-0.344647065388745	
0.147584780089657	-0.000676144937658635	28.1908767657884	0.306352956264629	3.23998863495981	-0.879988634955812	+43-(\$0534*023+\$052	5=03+2	1 0.1475847800858	57 28.1908767657884	-0.000676144937658635	-0.306352956264629	
0.147630572677659	-0.000591628120371251	27,4143683170449	-0.268058793235316	3.21119207976394	6.94880792023606	+04-(\$0\$34*024+\$0\$2	5=0.4^2	1 0.1478305726778	59 27,4143683170449	-0.000591628120371251	-0.268058793235316	
0.148076363648888	-0.000507109686110202	26.6378599224063	-0.229764684311029	3.18239551043842	-2.28239551043842	+45-(\$0\$24*025+\$0\$2	5=05^2	1 0.148076364688	88 26.6378599224063	-0.000507109686110202	-0.229764684311029	
0.148322154619917	-0.000422591251849153	25.8613515277679	-0.19147057538687	8.1535989411129	1.5464010588871	-+06-(\$0524*026+5052	5-126^2	1 0.1483221546199	17 25,8613515277679	-0.000422591251849153	-0.19147057538687	
0.148567945590947	-0.000556072617568547	25.0848431331293	-0.153176466462583	3.12480237178738	-1.104800337178738	-H27-(\$0524*027+\$052	5=(27+2	0.1485679455909	47 25.0848431331293	-0.000338072817588547	-0.153176466462583	
0.148815756561976	-0.0002535543883327692	24.5083347384907	-0.114882357538267	3.09600580246285	1.96399419753815	=+08-(\$0\$34*028+\$0\$2	5 =(18^2	0.1488137365619	76 24.3083347384907	-0.000253554383327692	-0.114882357538267	
0.149059527535005	4149064655069100010-	23.5318263438521	-0.076588248613966	3.06720923313632	-2.24720923313632	-+09-(\$0524*029+\$052	5 =(29^2	1 0.1490595275330	05 23.5318263438521	-0.00016903594906717	-0.076588248613966	
0.149305318504033	-0.0000845175148050581	22.7553179492137	-0.0382941396898531	3.03841266383079	4.42158733618921	=H30-(\$0524*030=\$052	5=(30^2	0.1493053185040	33 22.7553179492137	-0.000084517514805038	1-0.0382941396898531	
0.149551111092036	-6.975175992351986-10	21.9788095004701	2.333936421905496-08	3.00961610861493	1.63038389138507	=HB1-(\$0\$24*031=\$0\$2	5=(31^2	0.1495511110920	21.9788095004701	01-38625626654367986-90	2.333936421905496-08	
0.149796902063065	0.000084517736742229	21.2023011058316	0.0382941322636292	2.98081953928941	-2.22081953928941	=+82-(\$0\$24*032+\$0\$2	5=(32^2	0.1497969020630	21.2023011058316	0.000084517736742229	0.0382941322636352	
0.150042693034094	0.000169036171002807	20.425792711193	0.0765882411879346	2.95202296996388	-0.452022969963881	-+63-(\$0524*033+\$052	5 =(33^2	0.1500426930340	94 20.425792711193	0.000169036171002807	0.0765882411879346	
0.150268484005122	0.00025355460520842	19.6492843165546	0.114882350112136	2.92322640063835	0.146773599361649	-+04-(\$0\$34*034+\$0\$2	5 =(34^2	1 0.1502884840051.0	22 19.6492843165546	0.000253554605264994	0.114882350112136	
0.150534274976151	0.00035807305925821	18.8727759219159	0.153176459036462	2.89442983131282	-2.36442983131282	=+05-(\$0\$24*035+\$0\$2	5 =(35^2	1 0.1505342749761	51 18.8727759219159	0.000338073039525821	0.153176459036462	
0.150780065947181	0.000422591473785761	18.096267527274	0.131470567960664	2.86563326298731	0.204366738012694	=+06-(\$Q\$34*D36+\$Q\$2	5 =(36^2	1 0.1507800659471	81 18.096267527274	0.000422595473785763	0.191470567960664	
0.151025855691821	0.000507109908047476	17.319759132639	0.229764676884788	2.83683669266179	0.143163307338214	==07-(\$0\$24*037*\$0\$2	5 =(37^2	1 0.1510258569182	17.319759132639	0.000507109908047476	0.229764676884788	
0.151271649506211	0.0005916267253395553	16.5432506838955	0.268058839954001	2.80804013746591	1.31195986253409	==08-(\$0\$24*038+\$0\$2	5=08^2	1 0.1512716495062	11 16.5432506838955	0.000591626725335553	0.268058839934000	
0.151517640677241	0.000676145159959992	15.7067422892569	0.306352948838295	2.77924356834039	1.09075643185961	==09-(\$0\$24*039=\$0\$2	5=09^2	1 0.1515174404772	41 15.7667422892569	0.0006762452595959592	0.306352948838295	
0.151765231446271	0.0007606635988558887	14.9902338946183	0.344647057762698	2.75044699883487	-1.82044699881487	====0-(\$0\$24*040=\$0\$2	5 =140^2	1 0.1517632314482	71 14.9902338946183	0.000760663593856487	0.344647057762698	
0.15/2009022419299	0.000845182028118424	14.21.37254999799	0.382941166686848	2.72365042948934	0.8183495/70510656	==#1-(\$0\$24*0#1+\$0\$2	5 =941^2	1 0.152090224192	99 14.2137254999799	0.000845182028118424	0.382541166686848	
0.1522546133900338	0.0009297000462879501	13.4372171053413	0.421235275611135	2.69285386036382	0.947146139836384	=>+42-(\$Q\$24*D42+\$Q\$2	5 ==42^22	1 0.15225481.37903	28 13.4372171053413	0.000929700462379500	0.421235275611135	
0.152500604161357	0.0010142188966893333	12.6607087107027	0.45952338453545	2.66405729083829	-1.61405729083829	==+43-(\$Q\$34*D43+\$Q\$2	5 =943^2	119690006251/0 I	57 12.6607087107027	0.00001421889663933	0.45952938453545	
0.15274639553323877	0.0010987373008946	11.8842003160641	0.497823493459743	2.6352607215121777	1.33477927848723	======================================	5 =944^2	0.1527463953323	87 11.8842003160641	0.00109873733089946	0.497823493459743	
ALL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF ADDRE	A POST INVESTIGATION AND ADDRESS OF ADDRESS	THE PARTY AND TH	P THE PUTT PRESS	S PAPATAL PROVINE	A BUTAT ANT TANKING	Photo managements and	P. 1874.1	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		PARTICULAR DE LA CARDON DE LA C	APARTER STATE	

RGRESSION DATA

Appendix: Excel Spreadsheets

	Θ	00110
INVERSION	(IPM JALIAT ALECTANAS) (IPM JALIAT ALECTANAS) (IPM JALIAT ALECTANAS)	INVESTIGATION
INVA KARA KARA KARA KARA KARA KARA KARA KA	(Ban suffect staffstander Ban suffect staffstander Ban suffect staffstander	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100
INVA NUTROANNA'S	(IM MURT ACCIDENT	Connected of -Standon (March 1) - Standon (March 1) - Stando
larun micintostantur larun micintostantur larun micintostantur	THAT IN("DEL VERLEY MAY THAT IN("DEL VERLEY MAY	7.000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.00000 1.00000 1.0000 1.0000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.000000 1.00000000
Internationalistic	AMALATINIA TALIMA ING AMALATINIA TALIMA ING AMALATINIA TALIMA ING	91. VII.410.4100
(HAN SHITLANNA)." (HAN SHITLANNA)." (HAN SHITLANNA)."	Internetist statts som	
BRAN BRI DOCUMANTA BRAN BRI DR	AAAAATBAQBACTUQ AAAAALTBAQBACTUQA AAAAALTQAATBAQBAG	CO RECUTION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
GLI VICIONANA (ISSA INTAL AND	ANNER/PERTIT	Index-owner, 182, cold, hinner, J Index-owner, 182, cold, hinner, J
Ett angebrannen Bern sogran og sogrannen Bern sogran sogrannen Bern sogran sogrannen Bern sogrannen	That TREAT WILL FILL TO A TREAT AND A TREA	roinceinne, foll soll i never minisceinne, foll soll i never bedraf Jelene (D., 100) 20 BCD (Octation all III) 20 BCD (Octation all III) 20 BCD (Octation all III)
در ورم- ۲۶	.a.,10.01	**************************************

CALCULATION OF STANDARD ERRORS AND t-STATISTICS